Judging Criteria	1 (Poor)	2 (Fair)	3 (Good)	4 (Excellent)	5 (Outstanding)	Score
Relevance to	Barely related; major	Loosely connected;	Generally related to the	Clearly aligns with	Perfectly aligned; fully	
Collaboratorium	misalignment for this	unclear fit within the	Collaboratorium but with	research in this	represents this	
	Collaboratorium	Collaboratorium	some misalignment	Collaboratorium	interdisciplinary	
					Collaboratorium	
Abstract	Abstract is missing or	Poorly written; minimal	Clear but lacking key	Well-written; covers key	Engaging and clear; fully	
	unclear	summary of the content	details	points	highlights the key aspects	
					of the project	
Background &	Little or no background;	Vague background	Clear background but	Thorough background;	Comprehensive	
Significance	significance of project	context; significance of	lacks key points leading	significance of project is	background; clearly ties	
	focus is unclear	project is barely	to significance of this	clear with minor gaps	project to its broader	
		explained	project		significance	
Research	No clear design or	Vague design; weak	Clear design;	Well-explained design;	Detailed and coherent;	
Design/Strategy	methodology is	rationale for method or	appropriate	sound methodology	methodology fully	
	inappropriate	approach	methodology		justified and innovative	
Conclusions &	Missing or unsupported	Vague conclusions;	Generally clear	Well-supported	Strong, clear summary;	
Impact	conclusions; impact	minimal discussion of	conclusions; impact	conclusions; notable	significant impact	
	unclear	impact	moderately discussed	impact explained	clearly articulated	
Acknowledgements	Missing or irrelevant	Minimal or poorly	Appears complete but	Acknowledgements and	Comprehensive, well-	
& References		formatted; missing advisor	poorly formatted	references seem	formatted; sources are	
		acknowledgement		complete; reasonable	relevant to project	
				format used		
Visual	Graphics are poorly	Ineffective visuals; hard to	Adequate visuals with	Clear, relevant visuals	Expertly designed visuals;	
Communication	designed or absent	interpret	some clarity issues	appropriately support	egaging to readers;	
				project	promote understanding of	
					project	
Overall Poster	Chaotic layout; unreadable	Ineffective design;	Adequate layout; some	Well-organized layout;	Visually appealing,	
Design & Layout	fonts or poor color	cluttered layout detracts	readability issues	easy to understand	organized, and engaging;	
	choices; difficult to	from the communication			clearly communicates	
	understand	of project			whole project	
Verbal	No presenter at poster	Presenter uninterested or	Clear explaination; could	Good discussion,	Presenter clearly engaged	
		vague	be more engaging	interesting points	viewers; enthusiastic	
					about project	